RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

CHANGE PROPOSAL FORM (COPY)

1 PERSONAL DETAILS

PROPOSER NAME *(Mandatory)*	Jon Hawkins
COMPANY *(Optional)*	RECCo
COMPANY TYPE *(Optional)*	Code Body/Code Administrator
TELEPHONE NUMBER *(Optional)*	
EMAIL ADDRESS *(Mandatory)*	Jonathan.Hawkins@retailenergycode.co.uk

2 CHANGE PROPOSAL SUMMARY

CHANGE PROPOSAL TITLE *(Mandatory)*	Changes to Supply Number Format for MHHS
WHAT IS THE ISSUE YOU ARE SEEKING TO SOLVE? *(Mandatory)*	The Electricity Supply License requires Suppliers (under SLC 20.4, SLC 31G.8 and SLC 31H.4) to provide the Supply Number to each Consumer on every bill, or at least once per year where no bill has been sent. The Supply Number format is prescribed by the REC and is detailed in the Energy Market Data Specification. The current format of the Supply Number includes: • a top line, consisting of the Profile Class Id, Meter Timeswitch Code (MTC) and Line Loss Factor Class (LLFC) Id; and • a bottom line consisting of the Distributor Id, Unique reference number and Check digit that make up the MPAN. The Market-wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS) design is removing the use of Profile Classes (with the exception of legacy non-smart meters), removing MTCs and separating the LLFC Id to create a new Line Loss Factor (LLF) Id and DUoS Tariff Id.

	This will impact the format of the Supply Number, which would require Electricity Suppliers to change the number provided to Consumers on their bills / statements.
WHAT IMPACT IS THIS HAVING? *(Mandatory)*	The MHHS design is changing the data associated with each individual metering point, including those data items currently displayed within the Supply Number top line. To support Electricity Supplier implementation of the MHHS arrangements, a clearly defined solution is required setting out a revised approach to populating the Supply Number on Consumer's bills and statements. Without this change, Electricity Suppliers will need to make individual assumptions on how to populate the Supply Number fields where data is no longer available, leading to inconsistencies and a lack of clarity for Consumers.
WHAT OUTCOMES DO YOU CONSIDER ARE NEEDED TO ACHIEVE AN EFFECTIVE SOLUTION? *(Mandatory)*	The outcome of this REC CP should include a revised definition of the Supply Number format, either replacing or removing the redundant data items for migrated metering points. To aid the assessment of both of these options, this CP will propose that the top line is replaced with alternative data items and an Alternative CP will be raised to propose the top line is removed. This will allow the Code Manager to clearly assess support and rationale for both proposals, and for the Authority to consider which of these proposals better facilitates the REC objectives. Based on previous engagement with stakeholders, there was general support for the top line being replaced with the Market Segment Id and DUoS Tariff Id, with some respondents suggesting that the Connection Type Id, Standard Settlement Configuration Id or Line Loss Factor Id could also be included. A clear implementation approach is required, setting out how and when the changes will be applied e.g. whether the existing and new formats will continue in parallel for the MHHS migration period.

IS THERE ANY BACKGROUND INFORMATION OR CONTEXT TO THE CHANGE PROPOSAL THAT WOULD BE USEFUL? *(Optional)*	RECCo and the Code Manager have been considering this issue as part of its MHHS programme of work. A consultation was issued in October 2022. 12 responses were received from Electricity Suppliers, DNOs, iDNOs and Meter Administrators. A summary of responses to the consultation have been included for information . The consultation responses highlighted that there was no consensus from industry participants on whether a top line should be retained in a different format, or the top line should be removed entirely.

3 CONSUMER IMPACTS

WHICH CONSUMER TYPES WILL BE IMPACTED BY THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL? *(Mandatory)*	☒ Electricity ☐ Gas ☐ Domestic Prepayment ☐ Domestic Credit ☐ Microbusiness ☐ Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) ☐ Industrial and Commercial (I&C) ☐ Other (please specify in the Additional information box below)
WHAT IMPACT WILL THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL HAVE FOR THESE CONSUMERS? *(Mandatory)*	☒ Switching experience ☐ Billing accuracy ☐ Trust and confidence ☐ Safety ☐ Security of supply ☐ Ability to pay ☐ On site experience ☐ Other (please provide details in the Additional information box below)
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	The information currently displayed in the top line of the Supply Number, in particular the LLFC Id is used to support switch processes. It has been suggested that domestic consumers are unlikely to use this information (although they may be asked to provide it to a gaining supplier); whereas for larger, non domestic consumers the LLFC Id provides transparency for Consumers of their DUoS charges and the top line data supports Consumers who chose to appoint their own agents.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

4 CODE IMPACTS

Does this Issue relate to or impact:

THE REC OR REC SCHEDULES? *(Optional)*	☐ Main Body ☐ Interpretations and Definitions Schedule ☐ Transition Schedule ☐ Accession Agreement ☐ Company Governance Schedule ☐ Change Management Schedule ☐ Performance Assurance Schedule ☐ Qualification and Maintenance Schedule ☐ Market Exit Schedule ☐ Charging Methodology Schedule ☐ Prepayment Arrangements Schedule ☐ Central Switching Service Schedule ☐ Data Access Schedule ☐ Energy Theft Reduction Schedule ☐ Transfer of Consumer Data Schedule ☐ Metering Operations Schedule ☐ Metering Accreditation Schedule ☐ Smart Meter Installation Schedule ☐ Secure Data Exchange Schedule ☐ Green Deal Arrangements Schedule ☐ Registration Services Schedule ☐ Related Metering Points Schedule ☐ Address Management Schedule ☐ Switching Data Management Schedule ☐ RMP Lifecycle Schedule ☐ Switching Service Management Schedule ☐ Resolution of Consumer Facing Switching and Billing Issues Schedule ☐ SPAA Transition Schedule ☐ MRA Transition Schedule ☐ Unbilled Energy Code of Practice ☐ Gas Metering Code of Practice ☐ MOCoPA ☐ ASPCoP ☐ Change Panel Terms of Reference ☐ Performance Assurance Board Terms of Reference

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

THE DATA SPECIFICATION? *(Optional)*	☐ Data Item Catalogue ☐ Market Message Catalogue ☐ Data Access Matrix ☐ Standards Definition
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	The S Number Format is defined in the Data Specification but is not included in any of the options above.

CENTRAL SYSTEMS OR REC SERVICES? *(Optional)*	☒ Electricity Enquiry Service ☐ Central Data Service Provider Further Services ☐ Secure Data Exchange Service ☐ Green Deal Central Charging Database ☐ Central Switching Service (CSS) ☐ CSS Certificate Authority ☐ Switching Operator ☐ Gas Retail Data Service ☐ Electricity Retail Data Service ☐ Gas Enquiry Service ☐ Energy Theft Tip Off Service (ETTOS)
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	The Supply Number is displayed on the EES. Therefore the new format will need to be applied. The consequential changes to the EES are being managed through the MHHS programme, therefore the Code Manager does not need to include the required changes to the EES in this solution. However, there will need to be close engagement with the RECCo MHHS team to ensure both solutions are aligned.

REC PARTIES AND/OR MARKET PARTICIPANTS? *(Optional)*	☒ Energy Suppliers ☒ Distribution Network Operators ☐ Gas Transporters ☐ Metering Equipment Managers

	☐ DCC ☐ Shippers ☐ Data Collectors ☐ Data Aggregators ☐ Green Deal Providers ☐ Green Deal Finance Parties ☐ Meter Asset Providers ☐ AMR Service Providers ☐ EES Users ☐ GES Users ☐ GDCC Users ☐ Other (please provide details in the Additional Information box)
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	

OTHER INDUSTRY CODES? *(Optional)*	☐ BSC ☐ DCUSA ☐ SEC ☐ UNC ☐ IGT UNC
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	Whilst the Supply License doesn't define the actual format of the Supply Number, it does set out the overall requirement to include the number on customer bills. The Code Manager should ensure Ofgem is engaged in discussions throughout.

DOES THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL IMPACT A SIGNIFICANT CODE REVIEW? *(Optional)*	Yes
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION *(Optional)*	This change is required as a direct result of the MHHS design and will need to be implemented alongside other changes required under the Electricity Settlement Reform SCR.

5 CHANGE PROGRESSION

DO YOU BELIEVE THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL MEETS THE CRITERIA FOR 'URGENCY' AND SHOULD BE PROGRESSED UNDER AN URGENT TIMETABLE? **IF SO, PLEASE PROVIDE JUSTIFICATION?** *(Optional)*	No
ARE THERE ANY REQUIRED TIMESCALES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OR IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL? *(Optional)*	This change is required before metering points start migration to the new MHHS arrangements (it is assumed that changes will not be required to support MHHS testing activities). The MHHS programme plan is currently being revised, with the new plan to be issued for consultation in December 2022 . It is therefore not possible to specify a date by which this change is required. Responses from the earlier Supply Number consultation have indicated that suppliers will require a 12+ month implementation lead time and this will be taken into account in the change proposal plan.
ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY DEPENDENCIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHANGE PROPOSAL WHICH MAY IMPACT ITS PRIORITY OR PROGRESSION TIMETABLE? *(Optional)*	Electricity Suppliers require a clear understanding of the approach to populating the Supply Number for metering points that have migrated to the new MHHS arrangements.
DOES THIS CHANGE PROPOSAL RELATE TO AN EXISTING OR PREVIOUS CHANGE PROPOSAL? *(Optional)*	No

6 ATTACHMENTS

ATTACH/PROVIDE ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED WITH THE FORM	MHHS Supply Number Consultation Response Summary Anon 221108